

“The mission of Tyndale Seminary is to provide Christ-centred graduate theological education for leaders in the church and society whose lives are marked by intellectual maturity, spiritual vigour and moral integrity, and whose witness will faithfully engage culture with the Gospel.”

Course	NEW TESTAMENT THEOLOGY AND HISTORY NEWT 0522 1P
Date, Time, and Delivery Format	JANUARY 10 – APRIL 4, 2023 TUESDAY 6:45-9:45 PM IN PERSON ONLY
Instructor	DUNCAN REID, MDiv, PhD Email: dreid@tyndale.ca Phone: 416 226 6620 ext. 2273 Office number: Room C 304
Class Information	Class format: This is an in-person course. The format will include lecture presentation as well as large and small group discussion. Office Hours: by appointment. Email correspondence: a response can be expected within 1-3 business days.
Course Material	Access course material at classes.tyndale.ca or other services at Tyndale One . Course emails will be sent to your @MyTyndale.ca e-mail account only.

I. COURSE DESCRIPTION

A study of the New Testament focusing on its over-arching vision of God’s mission in the world and how human beings are called to respond. Each book will be placed in its cultural and historical setting as we focus on its distinctive contribution to the New Testament. Along the way, students will be introduced to critical methods for studying the New Testament and will reflect on how we can integrate scholarly perspectives with a conviction that the New Testament is the Word of God.

Recommended Prerequisite: BIBL 0501

II. LEARNING OUTCOMES

At the end of the course, by actively listening to the weekly lectures, participating in online discussion forums, researching and writing a formal research paper, and composing a final integration paper, students will be able to:

1. Discuss the relationship of human and divine elements in the composition, canonization, translation and interpretation of the New Testament as scripture;
2. Demonstrate the importance of the Jewish and Greco-Roman historical context for the interpretation of the New Testament;
3. Identify issues related to historical Jesus research and sketch out a general understanding of the four Gospels;
4. Describe a general outline for the life of Paul and identify some of the major theological themes in his letters;
5. Distinguish between and analyze individual New Testament books in relation to their historical background, literary features, themes, and contemporary significance;
6. Describe the task of New Testament theology and discuss matters of theological unity and diversity as well as the relationship of the New Testament to the Old Testament;
7. Identify the practical relevance of the New Testament to the contemporary life and mission of individual Christians and the church as a whole.

III. COURSE REQUIREMENTS

A. REQUIRED READING

In addition to listening to the weekly lectures on the course page on classes.tyndale.ca, you will be required to read the following:

Powell, Mark Allan. *Introducing the New Testament: A Historical, Literary, and Theological Survey*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2018 (2009).

Carter, Warren. *Seven Events that Shaped the New Testament World*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2013.

Wright, N. T. *How God Became King: The Forgotten Story of the Gospels*. New York: HarperCollins, 2016. ISBN 978-0-06-173060-3 (needed for assignment #1)

New Testament text. While it is advisable to consult with more than one translation, your primary text can be any modern translation except paraphrases.

B. SUPPLEMENTARY / RECOMMENDED READING AND TOOLS

Matera, Frank J. *New Testament Theology: Exploring Diversity and Unity*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2007.

Tyndale recommends www.stepbible.org – a free and reputable online resource developed by Tyndale House (Cambridge, England) – for word searches of original-language texts, as well as for topical searches, interlinear texts, dictionaries, etc. Refer to the library for other [online resources for Biblical Studies](#).

C. GUIDELINES FOR INTERACTIONS

Tyndale University prides itself in being a trans-denominational community. We anticipate our students to have varied viewpoints which will enrich the discussions in our learning community. Therefore, we ask our students to be charitable and respectful in their interactions with each other, and to remain focused on the topic of discussion, out of respect to others who have committed to being a part of this learning community. Please refer to “Guidelines for Interactions” on your course resource page at classes.tyndale.ca.

D. ASSIGNMENTS AND GRADING

Each student will be evaluated on the basis of the following assignments (percentage of course grade in brackets).

1. Book Review: Due on Tues Jan 31, 2023 (20% of final grade)

Each student is required to write a critical reflection on the following book:

Wright, N. T. *How God Became King: the Forgotten Story of the Gospels*. New York: HarperCollins, 2016. ISBN 978-0-06-173060-3

As you prepare for and write your book review you will need to pay attention to the following guidelines:

- *General:* It will be necessary for you to either purchase or borrow the book and read it in its entirety. Highlight or make notes (summary) as you go so that you can readily recall what is needed for writing your review. It is often helpful to re-read (or at least re-read your highlights) in order to make sure you fully understand what the author is saying.
- *Length and style:* Your paper should be 3 to 5 pages in length (maximum 5 pages, 12 point font double-spaced). The full bibliographic information for your chosen book should be given up front in the first sentence (or in your title page). When citing the book thereafter simply cite page numbers as ‘in-text citations’ in parenthesis: e.g. (p.

56). If you wish to cite another author then include the full reference within your text (or in brackets). There should be no footnotes. For other general matters of style (organization, clarity, spelling, grammar etc.) please see general comments below.

- *Part One*: The first part of your review should provide a summary of the key ideas in the book and should be *no more than fifty percent* of your paper. Your goal here is to describe/summarize the general audience and intent of the book, its general structure/divisions, and its primary thesis/theses (big ideas) and argumentation. It is important to separate out and identify primary ideas (versus secondary ones) and to represent the author as accurately as possible.
- *Part two*: The second part of your review is where you critically engage with the book and should be *at least fifty percent* of your paper. You need to identify *both strengths and weaknesses* in the book. This primarily involves interacting with the big picture theses/ideas in the book that you have identified in your summary. It is acceptable to comment on specific details or issues of peripheral importance, but these should not form the bulk of your critique. This is not the place to expound your own theories, but you need to give succinct reasons for your critical comments (beyond merely personal bias). While your primary focus should be on ideas/content, it is also appropriate to comment on such matters as style, layout, organization, clarity of communication, bibliographic information and additional resources (especially as they relate to the effectiveness of the book).
- *Conclusion*: At the end of part two draw your paper to a clear conclusion by commenting upon the usefulness of the book for yourself and others as a resource for personal growth and ministry. Here you need to consider whether or not the author has accomplished what he/she set out to do in relation to the audience that he/she had in mind (note that some author's state such aims explicitly while in other books it is more implicit or may even be absent and confusing as a result).
- *Note*: the review should be written as if it will be read by someone who knows nothing about the book. It will not only provide them with a clear sense of the content but will also enable him/her to have an opinion about strengths and weaknesses of the book and whether or not it is worthwhile to read.

Taking into account the above guidelines this book review will be graded according to the following rubric:

Grade	Review
A	A concise summary of the book that demonstrates a clear understanding of its intended purpose, structure/content and key ideas in a manner that enables the reader to grasp the essence of the book. Summary does not exceed 50% of the review. Insightful critical engagement with the book that clearly identifies a few strengths and weaknesses by drawing on broader theological and biblical knowledge. Critique is appropriate and fair in relation to the overall nature,

	content, and purpose of the book. Provides clear and appropriate concluding statement about the value of the book based on the summary and critique. Written in a clear and concise manner with minimal/no grammatical/stylistic mistakes.
B	A clear summary of the book that demonstrates an understanding of its structure/content and key ideas in a manner that enables the reader to grasp the essence of the book. Summary does not exceed 50% of the review. Critical engagement with the book that identifies a few strengths and weaknesses in relation to its overall purpose and content. Provides an appropriate concluding statement about the value of the book based on the summary and critique. Clearly written with relatively few grammatical/stylistic mistakes.
C	A reasonable summary of the book that demonstrates a fair understanding of its structure/content and key ideas but may be missing key information and lacking clarity. Summary possibly exceeds 50% of the review. Makes a reasonable attempt at identifying strengths and weaknesses in relation to the overall purpose and content of the book. Provides an appropriate concluding statement about the value of the book. May lack clarity of expression and contains several grammatical/stylistic mistakes.
D	Summary of the book may pick up some key ideas but overall does a poor job of reflecting the overall structure/content and key ideas. Summary exceeds 50% of the review. Makes an attempt at identifying strengths and weaknesses in relation to the overall purpose and content of the book but may lack in clarity, relevance or substance. Fails to provide an appropriate conclusion. Poorly written with multiple grammatical/stylistic mistakes.
F	Fails to submit review or review fails to demonstrate knowledge of content in the book and does not provide a relevant critique or conclusion.

2. Biblical Book Study: Due on Tues Mar 7, 2023 (30% of final grade)

Each student is required to write an introductory paper on a New Testament book of their choosing. Once you have selected your book then proceed according to the following guidelines:

- *Inductive study:* Begin by reading through the book at least once or twice (several times if smaller) and making observations. I recommend you photocopy the book and read it with highlighter and/or pen and pencil in hand, making notes and markings as you go. Observe how the book is structured and identify key themes or ideas (e.g. repeated ideas and phrases). Look for internal clues to such matters as authorship, intended audience, intent and purpose. Make note of any questions that might require further research (e.g. background information, confusing and unclear passages, etc.)

- *Secondary sources*: Once you have completed your initial inductive study then consult secondary literature that discusses introductory questions in relation to your chosen NT book. These readings should help to challenge or strengthen your own inductive observations as well as provide information and provoke questions that you had not otherwise thought about. As you read these various resources pay attention to where there are uncertainties or significant differences of opinion and whether there is a majority opinion among scholars. You may wish to side with a particular opinion or withhold judgment until you can do further research but you are expected to make note of such issues in your paper. You are free to use as many secondary sources as you like without limitations as to the nature or date of such sources. As a basic minimum, however, you must consult *at least five different types* of secondary sources (*dated no earlier than 1990*) from the following list: scholarly journal articles; New Testament Introductions; New Testament Theologies; Commentaries (introductory section in particular); articles in Bible Dictionaries or Encyclopedias; articles in edited books; scholarly books.
- *Paper content*: As you write your paper you need to set out your research in a clear and concise manner according to the following categories (use sectional headings):
 - a) Historical and literary background: in this section you need to address such issues as dating, authorship, intended audience, geographical location and setting (of audience and author). You may also pay attention to the general historical and religious setting (e.g., Judaism in first century Palestine) relevant to your chosen book. Finally, make note of important discussion about sources and literary relationships (e.g., relationship of Synoptic Gospels to one another; relationship of 2 Peter to Jude; or the relationship of James to traditions about Jesus).
 - b) Identification of genre and Structural outline: identify and briefly discuss the major literary genre of your book: e.g., different types of letters, biography, history, apocalypse etc. (you will likely need to rely on secondary literature here). Make note of any differing scholarly opinions along with any majority opinion and the reasons for it. State your own preference in terms of genre and your reasons for it. Identify and briefly discuss the major structural divisions in the book once again noting any significant differences of opinion among scholars. Indicate which structural breakdown you prefer and give your reasons (i.e. identify the structural clues in the book itself). Your conclusions should be based on your own observations as well as discussions in secondary literature. As you proceed with the remainder of the paper try to incorporate your insights into genre and structure into your discussion of themes and application.
 - Note: you may wish to provide an actual outline (typical point form outline as found in most commentaries) of your chosen book to supplement your discussion. *This is recommended only required for an A grade paper*. If your book is longer (e.g., Gospels, Acts, Romans, Revelation) you should attach it as an appendix (which will not be included in your page count). It should be

noted, however, that the outline is not a substitute for your discussion which should focus on explaining *why* you chose the structure you did.

- c) Key theological motifs or themes: in this section of your paper you should identify the key theological motifs or themes in your chosen book. This should be based upon your own observations along with your reading in the secondary literature. *Each theme should be stated in a clear and concise but complete single sentence* (e.g. 'It is necessary to have faith in Jesus as the Christ if you are to live life to the full') and *not* simply given as an idea or heading (e.g. 'faith'). Each theme should be stated and then discussed (1-2 paragraphs). Your discussion should indicate clearly what you mean by the theme (flesh out and explain yourself) and why you chose it as a major theme for the book. In the case of the latter you need to provide evidence from the text itself that indicates your theme to be of major importance (e.g. repetition in various contexts; significant chunk of text given over to it etc.). For thematic ideas that appear in several NT books (such as 'faith') your statement and explanation of the theme should seek to identify how that theme is uniquely expressed in the particular book you are studying.
 - d) Ideas for contemporary appropriation/application: This section of your paper should explore the relevance of your chosen book for the contemporary church/society. The goal is to identify specific action steps directly related to *one* of the motifs/themes identified in the previous section. Your goal is to show how this first century book has relevance in our twenty-first century context. Your actions steps should be clear, concrete, creative, realistic, and context specific (e.g., your church, ministry organization, local community etc.). They should relate to one another as an overall package/strategy for bringing about a positive changes in your identified context. Finally, you need to indicate (realistically) what these changes would like (ideally) in the form of a vision statement, namely a positive description of what a preferred future looks like.
 - e) Critical issues requiring further research: in a final brief paragraph of your paper, identify any outstanding questions that arose during your research (either in our inductive study or reading of secondary literature) but were beyond the scope of this paper. They can be questions of general interest but can also be matters that potentially impact upon your interpretation of the book. Briefly indicate how researching the answer to your questions would potentially be helpful to you.
- *Paper style*: This paper should be 8-10 pages in length but not exceeding 10 pages (not including title page, bibliography or appendix of structural breakdown). It should include both footnotes (not endnotes) and a bibliography according to the Chicago Manual of Style (click on "Go to Notes and Bibliography" in the following Tyndale e-resource link: [Chicago-Style Quick Guide](#)). The paper should be 12 point font, double-spaced, and preferably Times Roman font with 1 inch margins. The bibliography should have a minimum of 5 items beyond the course texts (as above on secondary sources). Make

sure to include page numbers and a title page (title of paper; your name; due date; course code and name of professor). Please also consult “General Guidelines for Submission of Written Work” below.

- *General guidelines on spacing:* as you write you will need to decide how much space to give over to specific sections in your paper. This will vary depending on the book you choose. For example authorship is hotly debated and/or uncertain in books like Hebrews, James, and 2 Peter but is not an issue for Romans or Corinthians. This would result in significantly different amounts of space for authorship discussion in each of these instances. You will need to gauge this based on your research. As a general rule, however, at least fifty percent of your paper should be taken up with sections (c) and (d) on themes/motifs and contemporary application/appropriation. On the other hand section (f) on critical issues for further research should be no more than a brief paragraph.

Taking into account the above guidelines this biblical book study will be graded according to the following rubric:

	Research and Presentation of Ideas	Style
A	Evidence of careful inductive study and use good quality secondary sources (outlined above) that go beyond the minimum requirement of five (should be at least 10) and include divergent viewpoints. Differing opinions are weighed carefully. Ideas/arguments are presented clearly/logically and are backed up by your research, showing a careful integration of your own ideas and those encountered research. The paper is clearly organized according to the five content areas outlined above. These content areas should be appropriately weighted for your given book and address the requirements that have been outlined above. Includes an appendix with the structural outline of the chosen book.	Clear and articulate prose with minimal grammatical and/or stylistic mistakes. Documentation of secondary sources is clear, consistent, and full in keeping with requirements outlined in the course syllabus.
B	Evidence of careful inductive study and appropriate use of at least five good quality secondary sources (as defined above). Ideas are presented clearly and are based on secondary sources integrated with your own ideas/findings. Paper is clearly organized according to five content areas. The content areas are appropriately	Clear prose with relatively few grammatical/stylistic mistakes. Clear documentation of secondary sources according to requirements outlined in the course syllabus.

	weighted for your given book and address the requirements outlined above.	
C	Evidence of inductive study but lacking in depth. Uses appropriate number of secondary sources but may be of questionable quality or date. Ideas are presented on the basis of secondary sources and inductive findings but may be incomplete, lacking clarity, or lacking in depth of insight. Paper addresses issues in five content areas but lacks clear organization, appropriate balance of content, and/or fails to address the requirements of each content areas as outlined above.	Generally clear prose but with several grammatical and stylistic mistakes. Documentation of secondary sources is not always clear, complete or consistent, and fails to follow the requirements outlined in the course syllabus.
D	Little or no evidence of inductive study. Secondary sources are poor quality and less than the minimal requirement of five. Ideas are presented but lack clarity and are not obviously based on research. Paper lacks organization and may fail to address one of the required content areas or substantively omits required content in particular areas.	Paper is poorly written with multiple grammatical and stylistic errors. Documentation of secondary sources is inadequate and/or lacking.
F	Lacks any evidence of inductive study or use of any relevant secondary sources. Failure to present any clear ideas based on research. Paper lacks organization and fails to address three or more of the content areas, or fails to address required content in areas that are addressed.	Paper is poorly written with multiple grammatical and stylistic errors. Documentation of secondary sources is missing.

3. Research Paper: Due on Tuesday March 28, 2023 (50% of course grade)

Each student will select one research topic from the list below (alternative topics may be approved by the instructor upon request) and will write an academic paper related to that topic. Depending on the chosen topic and research question, this paper may address one or more of the Learning Outcomes identified at the beginning of this syllabus. In completing this paper the student should proceed according to the following guidelines:

- **Research topics:** the New Testament doctrine of scripture or canonization; the Jesus seminar and/or historical Jesus research; the kingdom of God in the Gospels; the death of Jesus; the resurrection of Jesus; apocalyptic literature and its value for studying the New Testament; the theology of Paul; Paul's understanding of the Old Testament law; the use of the Old Testament in the New; the value of New Testament critical methodology as used by modern biblical scholars (e.g. redaction criticism; source criticism; literary criticism, rhetorical criticism, etc.); the study of Jewish or Greco-Roman

background in relation to interpretation of the New Testament; the ‘new perspective’ on Paul; pseudepigraphy in the New Testament; the relationship of history and theology in studying the New Testament; various theological themes or New Testament theology in general.

- **Research Question:** Students will be expected to prepare a research paper in which they summarize and interact with some aspect of their chosen topic. *You must first formulate a research question that you intend to answer in your paper.* For example “the resurrection of Jesus” is a research topic but not a research question. Examples of questions related to this topic might include the following: what is the historical and contextual background (OT; Ancient Near East; Greco-Roman etc.) to resurrection in the NT? What is the historical evidence for Jesus being raised bodily from the dead? How is the resurrection of Jesus best explained – bodily resurrection, mythological story, objective visionary experience or other? Etc. It is recommended (but not required) that you get input from the instructor when formulating your research question. The research question will be key to giving your paper a specific focus. You will then need to research potential answers to your question in secondary scholarly literature (see below) as well paying close attention to the New Testament itself. The results of your research should demonstrate your ability to interact with and synthesize ideas found in various secondary sources along with your own ideas.
- **Secondary literature:** in keeping with the grading rubric below, an A/B paper will include between (at least) 7 to 15 items in your bibliography. The only items included in this count will be secondary scholarly sources that go beyond any assigned course reading and have obvious relevance to your paper. These include such things as scholarly commentaries, NT Introductions, NT Theologies, books, and articles/essays (e.g., in dictionaries and essay collections). If there is *significant interaction* (versus a brief citation) with a primary source (e.g., Josephus or Philo etc.) then the reference to this primary source will be included in the bibliography count. You are welcome to reference the following type of items as you see fit, but they will not be included in the bibliography count: popular articles, essays and commentaries; popular online articles; Bibles and study Bibles. Work hard to understand and represent the authors accurately while bringing their ideas into constructive dialogue with one another and with your own. Avoid unthinking reliance upon authors you like or agree with and overly quick dismissal of authors you disagree with. You should cite all sources appropriately in **footnotes** (not endnotes) and provide a full bibliography at the end of your paper.
- **General approach:** the paper should be clearly structured with the following sections: (1) an introduction (identify your research question, why it is important to address and how you intend to address it); (2) body (present your research in a clear and organized fashion – it is often helpful to use sectional headings to organize and clarify your thoughts); (3) and conclusion (draw clear conclusions in answering your research question based on your findings presented in the body of the paper). What matters most is that you organize and clearly present your research and ideas. In a paper that discusses differing opinions this does not mean drawing conclusions where there is

insufficient evidence. It is possible, for example, that you are unable to decide between two or more options. In this case you need to clearly show the strengths and weaknesses of each option and why you think ambiguity remains. You may give suggestions for further research that would help gain more insight.

- **Style:** The paper should be 8-10 pages in length (maximum 10, not including title page or bibliography) with footnotes (not endnotes) and a bibliography of sources consulted. Please follow the Chicago Manual of Style for footnotes and bibliography ([See “Documenting Chicago Style” tip sheet](#)). The paper should be written in 12 point font, double-spaced, and preferably Times Roman font with 1 inch margins. The bibliography should include all items of secondary and primary literature that are cited (not Bibles but it is good to indicate in a footnote or parenthesis which version/versions you are using) and should include a good cross section of types (commentaries; books; articles) and viewpoints. Make sure to include page numbers and a title page (title of paper; your name; due date; course code and name of professor). Please also consult “General Guidelines for Submission of Written Work” below.

Grading rubric: the following rubric will be used in grading this assignment:

Grade	Research Paper
A	<p>The paper carefully follows all of the assignment instructions for a ‘Research Paper’ outlined above. With this in mind the following features will be present:</p> <p><i>Research:</i> the introduction includes a clearly articulated research question, persuasively demonstrates why this question deserves attention, and clearly explains how it will be addressed. The paper and bibliography provide evidence of in-depth research from a variety of appropriate secondary (and possibly primary) sources representing a cross section of views/perspectives on the subject matter at hand. The bibliography includes at least 10-15 good quality secondary sources in keeping with the description of secondary sources in the assignment description above.</p> <p><i>Argumentation and style:</i> the paper creatively and succinctly presents research in a manner that clearly and even-handedly summarizes the views of others. The paper demonstrates an appreciation for the complexity of the issues while drawing clear conclusions based on a balanced appraisal of the evidence that identifies both the weaknesses and strengths of various viewpoints.</p> <p><i>Style:</i> the paper is clear, creative, succinct and persuasive in presenting research findings and conclusions. The paper clearly and directly answers the research question posed in the introduction and appropriately summarizes/states these findings in its conclusion. The paper is clearly organized and avoids confusion, unnecessary details, redundant repetition, and inefficient wording. The paper is free (or almost free) of stylistic and grammatical mistakes. It adheres to the “general guidelines for the submission of written work” in the syllabus, including</p>

	the proper citation of secondary sources (footnotes and bibliography according to the Chicago Style).
B	<p>The paper follows all of the assignment instructions for a ‘Research Paper’ outlined above. With this in mind the following features will be generally present:</p> <p><i>Research:</i> the introduction includes a clearly articulated research question, indicates why this question deserves attention, and explains how it will be addressed. The paper and bibliography provide evidence of in-depth research from a variety of secondary (and possibly primary) sources representing a cross section of views/perspectives on the subject matter at hand. The bibliography includes at least 7-10 good quality secondary sources in keeping with the description of secondary sources in the assignment description above.</p> <p><i>Argumentation and style:</i> the paper presents research in a manner that clearly and even-handedly summarizes the views of others. The paper demonstrates an appreciation for the complexity of the issues while drawing clear conclusions on the research question under investigation.</p> <p><i>Style:</i> the paper is clear, succinct and persuasive in presenting research findings and conclusions. The paper clearly answers the research question posed in the introduction and appropriately summarizes/states these findings in the conclusion. The paper is well organized and generally avoids confusion, unnecessary details, redundant repetition, and inefficient wording. The paper is generally free of stylistic and grammatical mistakes, and adheres to the “general guidelines for the submission of written work” in the syllabus, including the citation of secondary sources (footnotes and bibliography according to the Chicago Style)</p>
C	<p>The paper generally follows the assignment instructions for a ‘Research Paper’ outlined above. With this in mind the following features will be generally present:</p> <p><i>Research:</i> the introduction includes a research question, indicates why it is being addressed and how that will happen. The paper and bibliography provide evidence of research from secondary (and possibly primary) sources relevant to the subject matter at hand. The bibliography includes at least 3-6 good quality secondary sources in keeping with the description of secondary sources in the assignment description above.</p> <p><i>Argumentation and style:</i> the paper presents research in a manner that is generally clear and appropriately represents the views of others. The paper will demonstrate an awareness of differing viewpoints while drawing its own conclusions on the matter at hand.</p> <p><i>Style:</i> the paper is generally clear and persuasive in presenting its research findings and conclusions. The paper is organized and generally avoids confusion and unnecessary details. While reasonably clear, the paper may contain more than the average number of stylistic and grammatical mistakes. It generally adheres to “general guidelines for the submission of written work” in the syllabus,</p>

	including the citation of secondary sources (footnotes and bibliography according to the Chicago Style), but may be deficient in some of these ways.
D	<p>The paper somewhat follows the assignment instructions for a ‘Research Paper’ outlined above but is characterized by one of more of the following elements:</p> <p><i>Research:</i> the introduction lacks clarity in relation to the research question, the reasons for it being addressed and/or the method to be employed. The paper and bibliography provide evidence of research from secondary (and possibly primary) sources. However, these resources may be deemed of poor quality (in relation to the description of secondary sources in the course the assignment), insufficient in number (less than 3), and/or lacking in relevance to the subject matter at hand.</p> <p><i>Argumentation and style:</i> while possibly demonstrating awareness of differing views, the presentation of research is generally lacking in clarity and persuasiveness and/or fails to appropriately represent the views of others. The conclusions drawn from the research may be missing or lacking in clarity.</p> <p><i>Style:</i> the paper suffers from a lack of clarity and persuasiveness in general. There is little evidence of organization and it may well be characterized by confusion, unnecessary or irrelevant details, and a large number of stylistic and grammatical mistakes. It may substantially fail to follow the “general guidelines for the submission of written work” in the syllabus, including the citation of secondary sources (footnotes and bibliography according to the Chicago Style).</p>
F	<p>Either no paper has been submitted within agreed upon timelines (including any agreed upon extensions) or the paper fails in large manner to follow the assignment instructions for a ‘Research Paper’ outlined above. Such a failure will be characterized by one of more of the following elements:</p> <p><i>Research:</i> there is a fundamental lack of clarity in relation to identifying a research question or the manner in which it will be addressed. The paper and bibliography provide no evidence of research from secondary (and possibly primary) sources or those cited are deemed either irrelevant or of poor quality (in relation to the description of secondary sources in the course the assignment).</p> <p><i>Argumentation and style:</i> there is a lack of awareness of differing views and presentation of research fundamentally lacks in clarity and persuasiveness. No clear conclusions are drawn or expressed.</p> <p><i>Style:</i> there is an overall lack of clarity and organization. Instead the paper is characterized by confusion and, irrelevant details, and a large number of stylistic and grammatical mistakes. It fails substantially to follow the “general guidelines for the submission of written work” in the syllabus, including the citation of secondary sources (footnotes and bibliography according to the Chicago Style).</p>

E. EQUITY OF ACCESS

Students with permanent or temporary disabilities who need academic accommodations must [contact](#) the [Accessibility Services](#) at the [Centre for Academic Excellence](#) to [register](#) and discuss their specific needs. *New students* must self-identify and register with the Accessibility Office at the beginning of the semester or as early as possible to access appropriate services. *Current students* must renew their plans as early as possible to have active accommodations in place.

F. SUMMARY OF ASSIGNMENTS AND GRADING

Evaluation will be based upon completion of the assignments (as outlined above):

Assignment	Due Date	% of final grade
1. Book Review	Tuesday Jan 31, 2023	20%
2. Biblical Book Study	Tuesday Mar 7, 2023	30%
3. Research Paper	Tuesday Mar 28, 2023	50%
Total Grade		100%

G. GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR THE SUBMISSION OF WRITTEN WORK

Written assignments are due on the dates indicated above and should be type written, *double-spaced, 12 point font (preferably Times Roman; 10 point font for footnotes), and one inch margins*. They should be submitted in pdf format and uploaded to the appropriate portal on the course Moodle page.

Please label the file with your name and assignment (e.g., John Smith_Book Review or John Smith_Research Paper). The deadline for submission is midnight on the due date.

It is expected that written work is submitted in a straightforward style of academic prose and demonstrates clear organization, argument and coherent thought. It ought to be free of spelling mistakes, punctuated correctly, and adhere to basic rules of grammar. Ensure that you have accurately and fully documented any secondary sources used in your paper (including footnotes and bibliography). If English grammar is challenging for you then you are expected to seek help (e.g. from the writing services of the Centre for Academic Excellence).

For proper citation style, consult the [tip sheet, "Documenting Chicago Style"](#) (Tyndale e-resource) or the full edition of the [Chicago Manual of Style Online](#), especially ch. 14. For citing scripture texts, refer to sections 10.44 to 10.48 and 14.238 to 14.241 from the *Chicago Manual of Style* or reference the [tip sheet, "How to Cite Sources in Theology"](#).

Academic Integrity

Integrity in academic work is required of all our students. Academic dishonesty is any breach of this integrity, and includes such practices as cheating (the use of unauthorized material on tests and examinations), submitting the same work for different classes without permission of the instructors; using false information (including false references to secondary sources) in an assignment; improper or unacknowledged collaboration with other students, and plagiarism. Tyndale University takes seriously its responsibility to uphold academic integrity, and to penalize academic dishonesty. Please refer to the [Academic Integrity website](#) for further details.

Students are encouraged to consult [Writing Services](#) as well as [tip sheets](#).

Students should also consult the current [Academic Calendar](#) for academic polices on Academic Honesty, Gender Inclusive Language in Written Assignments, Late Papers and Extensions, Return of Assignments, and Grading System.

Research Ethics

All course-based assignments involving human participants requires ethical review and may require approval by the [Tyndale Research Ethics Board \(REB\)](#). Check with the Seminary Dean's Office (aa@tyndale.ca) before proceeding.

H. COURSE EVALUATION

Tyndale Seminary values quality in the courses it offers its students. End-of-course evaluations provide valuable student feedback and are one of the ways that Tyndale Seminary works towards maintaining and improving the quality of courses and the student's learning experience. Student involvement in this process is critical to enhance the general quality of teaching and learning.

Before the end of the course, students will receive a MyTyndale email with a link to the online course evaluation. The link can also be found in the left column on the course page. The evaluation period is 2 weeks; after the evaluation period has ended, it cannot be reopened.

Course Evaluation results will not be disclosed to the instructor before final grades in the course have been submitted and processed. Student names will be kept confidential and the instructor will only see the aggregated results of the class.

IV. COURSE SCHEDULE, CONTENT AND REQUIRED READINGS

The following summary indicates lecture topics and assigned reading for the given dates. All classes will take place from 6:45 to 9:45 pm on Tuesday evenings. Assignment dates are explicitly indicated and marked with *asterisks*.

Week 1: Jan 10

Course Introduction and Syllabus

Lecture: The New Testament as Scripture

Required reading:

- Powell 59-75 (17 pages) (chap 3)
- Carter 107-154 (48 pages) (chapters 6 and 7)

Week 2: Jan 17

Lecture: The New Testament in its Historical Context

Course reading:

- Powell 17-57 (41 pages) (chaps 1 + 2)
- Carter 1-64 (64 pages) (chaps 1-3)

Week 3: Jan 24

Lecture: Jesus and the Gospels (General Introduction)

Course reading:

- Powell 77-117 (41 pages) (chaps 4 + 5)
- Carter 65-85 (20 pages) (chap 4)
- New Testament: Gospel of Luke

Week 4: Jan 31

Book Review Due (assignment #1)

Lecture: The Gospel of Mark

Course reading:

- Powell 119-159 (19 pages) (chaps 6 + 7)
- Carter 87-106 (19 pages) (chap 5)
- New Testament: Gospel of Mark

Week 5: Feb 7

Lecture: The Gospel of John and the Johannine Letters

Course reading:

- Powell 183-203 and 507-521 (36 pages) (chaps 9 + 28)
- New Testament: Gospel of John and 1, 2, 3, John

Week 6: Feb 14

Lecture: Luke and Acts

Course reading:

- Powell 161-181 and 205-229 (46 pages) (chaps 8 + 10)
- New Testament: Acts

READING DAYS: FEB 21 (No lecture this week)

Week 7: Feb 28

Lecture: Pau and His Letters (General Introduction)

Course reading:

- Powell 231-269 and 431-441 (50 pages) (chaps 11 +12 + 23)
- New Testament: Philemon

Week 8: Mar 7

Biblical Book Study Due (assignment #2)

Lecture: Romans

Course reading:

- Powell 271-287 (17 pages) (chap 13)
- New Testament: Romans

Week 9: Mar 14

Lecture: Paul - The Corinthian Correspondence (1-2 Corinthians)

Course reading:

- Powell 289-321 (33 pages) (chaps 14 + 15)
- New Testament: 1-2 Corinthians

Week 10: Mar 21

Lecture: Catholic Epistles - Hebrews

Course reading:

- Powell 443-459 (17 pages) (chap 24)
- New Testament: Hebrews

Week 11: Mar 28

NOTE: Research Paper (assignment #3)

Lecture: Revelation

Course reading:

- Powell 531-551 (21 pages) (chap 30)
- New Testament: Revelation

Week 12: April 4

Lecture: New Testament Theology

Course Reading: none

V. SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

([Tyndale Library](#) supports this course with [e-journals](#), [e-books](#), and the [mail delivery of books](#) and circulating materials. See the [Library FAQ page](#).)

The following is a partial list of resources intended as a starting point of research on a number of issues pertaining to the New Testament. In addition to these more general works, the library has multiple commentaries on each book of the New Testament. Commentaries will provide valuable background information on specific books. All these resources (along with the course text) contain a wealth of additional bibliography to aid research on more specific topics.

New Testament Introductions:

- Achtemeier, Paul J., Joel B. Green, and Marianne Meye Thompson. *Introducing the New Testament: Its Literature and Theology*. Grand Rapids, MI: Wm. B. Eerdmans, 2001.
- Brown, Raymond E. *An Introduction to the New Testament*. New York; London; Toronto: Doubleday, 1997.
- Carson, D. A., Douglas J. Moo, and Leon Morris. *An Introduction to the New Testament*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1992.
- DeSilva, David A., *An Introduction to the New Testament: Contexts, Methods, & Ministry Formation*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2004.
- Ehrman, Bart D. *The New Testament: A Historical Introduction to the Early Christian Literature*. New York; Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000.
- Guthrie, Donald. *New Testament Introduction*. Leicester; Downers Grove, IL: Apollos; Intervarsity Press, 1990.
- Johnson, Luke Timothy. *The Writings of the New Testament: An Interpretation*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1999.
- Kümmel, W. G. *Introduction to the New Testament*. Translated by H. C. Kee. London: Abingdon Press, 1975.
- Marxsen, W. *Introduction to the New Testament: An Approach to Its Problems*. Translated by G. Buswell. Philadelphia, PA: Fortress Press, 1968.
- McNeile, A. H. *An Introduction to the Study of New Testament*. 2nd Rev. ed. Edited by C. S. C. Williams. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1953.
- Metzger, Bruce M. *The New Testament: Its Background, Growth, and Content*. 3rd ed. Nashville, TN: Abingdon Press, 2003.
- Powell, Mark Allan. *Introducing the New Testament: A Historical, Literary, and Theological Survey*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2009 (Second Edition, 2018)

Robert, A., and A. Feuillet. *Introduction to the New Testament*. Translated by P. W. Skehan et al. New York; Rome; Paris: Desclée Company, 1965.

New Testament Theology:

- Anderson, Bernhard W. "The New Crisis in Biblical Theology." *Drew Gateway* 45 (1974–75): 159–74.
- Bultmann, Rudolf. *Theology of the New Testament*. 2 Vols. Translated by Kendrick Grobel. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1951 and 1955.
- Caird, G. B. *New Testament Theology*. Completed and edited by Donald A. Hagner. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1994.
- Conzelmann, Hans. *An Outline of the Theology of the New Testament*. Translated by John Bowden. New York: Harper & Row, 1969.
- Funk, Robert W. *Language, Hermeneutic and the Word of God: The Problem of Language in the New Testament and Contemporary Theology*. New York: Harper and Row, 1966.
- Guthrie, Donald. *New Testament Theology*. Leicester: IVP, 1981.
- Käsemann, Ernst. "The Problem of New Testament Theology." *NTS* 19 (1972–1903): 235–45.
- Keck, Leander E. "Problems of New Testament Theology." *NovT* 7 (1964): 217–41.
- Ladd, George Eldon. *A Theology of the New Testament*. Rev. ed. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1994 (1974).
- Lemcio, Eugene E. "The Unifying Kerygma of the New Testament." *JSNT* 33 (1988): 3–17.
- Marshall, I. Howard. *New Testament Theology: Many Witnesses, One Gospel*. Downers Grove, IL: IVP, 2004.
- Martin, Ralph P. "New Testament Theology: Impasse and Exit." *ExpTim* 69 (1980): 264–69.
- Matera, Frank J. "New Testament Theology: History, Method and Identity." *CBQ* 67 (2005): 1–21.
- _____. *New Testament Theology: Exploring Diversity and Unity*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2007.
- Perrin, Norman. "Jesus and the Theology of the New Testament." *JR* 64 (1984): 413–31.
- Räsänen, Heikki. *Beyond New Testament Theology*. London; Philadelphia: SCM Press; Trinity Press International, 1990.
- Richardson, Alan. *An Introduction to the Theology of the New Testament*. London: SCM, 1958.
- Robinson, James M. "The Future of New Testament Theology." *Drew Gateway* 45 (1974–75): 175–87.
- Rowe, C. Kavin. "New Testament Theology: The Revival of a Discipline: A Review of Recent Contributions to the Field." *JBL* 125, no. 2 (2006): 393–410.
- Sandys-Wunsch, John, and Laurence Eldredge. "J.P. Gabler and the Distinction between Biblical and Dogmatic Theology: Translation, Commentary, and Discussion of His Originality." *SJT* 33 (1980): 133–58.
- Schreiner, Thomas R. *New Testament Theology: Magnifying God in Christ*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker, 2008.

Strecker, Georg. *Theology of the New Testament*. German ed. Edited and completed by Friedrich Wilhelm Horn. Translated by M. Eugene Boring. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox Press, 2000.

Thielman, Frank. *Theology of the New Testament: A Canonical and Synthetic Approach*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2005.

Jesus and the Gospels:

Allison, D.C. *Constructing Jesus: Memory, Imagination, and History*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2010.

Allison, D.C. *The Historical Christ and the Theological Jesus*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2009.

Bauckham, Richard. *Jesus and the Eyewitnesses: The Gospels as Eyewitness Testimony*. Grand Rapids, MI; Cambridge: W. B. Eerdmans, 2006.

_____. *The Gospels for All Christians: Rethinking the Gospel Audiences*. Grand Rapids, MI; Cambridge: W. B. Eerdmans, 1998.

Bird, Michael F. "The Formation of the Gospels in the Setting of Early Christianity: The Jesus Tradition as Corporate Memory." *WTJ* 67 (2005): 113–34.

Blomberg, Craig L. *Jesus and the Gospels: An Introduction and Survey*. Nashville, TN: Broadman and Holman, 1997.

Bock, Darrell L. *Who is Jesus? Linking the Historical Jesus with the Christ of Faith*. New York; Nashville, TN; London: Howard Books, 2012.

Borg, Marcus J. *Conflict, Holiness & Politics in the Teachings of Jesus*. Studies in the Bible and Early Christianity, Vol. 5. New York; London: Continuum, 1998.

_____. *Jesus: Uncovering the Life, Teachings, and Relevance of a Religious Revolutionary*. New York: HarperSanFrancisco, 2006.

_____. *Meeting Jesus Again for the First Time: The Historical Jesus & the Heart of Contemporary Faith*. San Francisco: HarperSanFrancisco, 1984.

Borg, Marcus J., and N. T. Wright. *The Meaning of Jesus: Two Visions*. New York: HarperCollins, 2007.

Burridge, R. A. *Four Gospels, One Jesus? A Symbolic Reading*. Grand Rapids, Michigan; Cambridge, U.K.: Eerdmans, 2005.

_____. *What Are the Gospels? A Comparison with Graeco-Roman Biography*. 2nd ed. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2004.

Charlesworth, James H., ed. *Jesus and Archaeology*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2006.

Crossan, John Dominic. *Jesus: A Revolutionary Biography*. New York: HarperCollins, 1994.

Crossan, John Dominic, and Jonathan L. Reed. *Excavating Jesus: Beneath the Stones, Behind the Texts*. New York: HarperCollins, 2002.

Eve, Eric. *Behind the Gospels: Understanding the Oral Tradition*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2014.

Goergen, Donald. *The Jesus of Christian History*. Eugene, OR: Wipf and Stock Publishers, 1992.

Hutchinson, Robert J. *Searching for Jesus: New Discoveries in the Quest for Jesus of Nazareth and How They Confirm the Gospel Accounts*. Nashville: Nelson Books, 2015.

- Johnson, Luke Timothy. *Living Jesus: Learning the Heart of the Gospel*. New York: HarperSanFrancisco, 1999.
- _____. *The Real Jesus: The Misguided Quest for the Historical Jesus and the Truth of the Traditional Gospels*. New York: HarperSanFrancisco, 1996.
- Le Donne, Anthony. *Historical Jesus; What Can We Know and How Can We Know It?* Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2011.
- Nolan, Albert. *Jesus Today: A Spirituality of Radical Freedom*. Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 2006.
- Pelikan, Jaroslav. *Jesus through the Centuries: His Place in the History of Culture*. New Haven; London: Yale University Press, 1985.
- Sanders, E. P. *Jesus and Judaism*. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1985.
- _____. *The Historical Figure of Jesus*. London - New York: Penguin Books, 1995.
- Sanders, E. P. and Margaret Davies. *Studying the Synoptic Gospels*. London: SCM Press, 1989.
- Stanton, Graham N. *The Gospels and Jesus*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002.
- Theissen, Gerd, and Annette Merz. *The Historical Jesus: A Comprehensive Guide*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1998.
- Theissen, Gerd, and Dagmar Winter. *The Quest for the Plausible Jesus: The Question of Criteria*. Louisville, KY: Westminster John Knox, 2002.
- Wilkins, Michael J., and Moreland, J. P., eds. *Jesus Under Fire: Modern Scholarship Reinvents the Historical Jesus*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 1995.
- Willitts, Joel. "Presuppositions and Procedures in the Study of the 'Historical Jesus': Or, Why I decided not to be a 'Historical Jesus' Scholar." *Journal for the Study of the Historical Jesus* 3, no. 1 (2005): 61–108.
- Wright, N.T. *Jesus the Victory of God*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1996.
- _____. *The Resurrection of the Son of God*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2003.

Paul:

- Barclay, John M. G. "Mirror-Reading a Polemical Letter: Galatians as a Test Case." *JSNT* 31 (1987): 73–93.
- Beker, J. Christian. *Paul the Apostle: The Triumph of God in Life and Thought*. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1980.
- _____. *The Triumph of God: The Essence of Paul's Thought*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1990.
- Bird, Michael. *The Saving Righteousness of God: Studies in Paul, Justification, and the New Perspective*. Paternoster Biblical Monographs. Carlisle: Paternoster, 2007.
- Borg, Marcus J., and John Dominic Crossan. *The First Paul: Reclaiming the Radical Visionary behind the Church's Conservative Icon*. New York: HarperCollins, 2009.
- Capes, David B., Rodney Reeves, and E. Randolph Richards. *Rediscovering Paul: An Introduction to His World, Letters and Theology*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 2007.
- Crossan, John Dominic, and Jonathan L. Reed. *In Search of Paul: How Jesus's Apostle Opposed Rome's Empire with God's Kingdom*. San Francisco: HarperSanFrancisco, 2004.
- Dunn, James G. *The New Perspective on Paul*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2007.
- _____. *The Theology of the Paul the Apostle*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1998.

- Elliot, Neil. *Liberating Paul: The Justice of God and the Politics of the Apostle*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2005.
- Elliot, Neil, and Mark Reasoner, eds. *Documents and Images for the Study of Paul*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2011.
- Fee, Gordon. *Empowering Presence: The Holy Spirit in the Letters of Paul*. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1994.
- Gorman, Michael J. *Apostle of the Crucified Lord: A Theological Introduction to Paul and His Letters*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2001.
- _____. *Cruciformity: Paul's Narrative Spirituality of the Cross*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2001.
- _____. *Inhabiting the Cruciform God: Kenosis, Justification, and Theosis in Paul's Narrative Soteriology*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2009.
- _____. *Reading Paul*. Eugene, OR: Cascade Books, 2008.
- Gundry, Stanley N., and Michael F. Bird, eds. *Four Views on the Apostle Paul*. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2012.
- Hays, Richard B. *Echoes of Scripture in the Letters of Paul*. New Haven; London: Yale University Press, 1989.
- _____. *The Faith of Jesus Christ: The Narrative Substructure of Galatians 3:1–4:11*. Grand Rapids, MI: W. B. Eerdmans, 2002.
- Horsley, Richard A., ed. *Paul and Empire: Religion and Power in Roman Imperial Society*. Harrisburg, PA: Trinity Press International, 1997.
- Jervis, L. Ann. *At the Heart of the Gospel: Suffering in the Earliest Christian Message*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2003.
- Kierspal, Lars. *Charts on the Life, Letters, and Theology of Paul*. Grand Rapids, MI: Kregal Academic, 2012.
- Malherbe, Abraham J. *Paul and the Popular Philosophers*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1989.
- Marchal, Joseph A., ed. *Four Views on the Apostle Paul*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2012.
- Meeks, Wayne A. *The First Urban Christians: The Social World of the Apostle Paul*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1983.
- Murphy-O'Connor, Jerome. *Paul: A Critical Life*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1996.
- Ridderbos, Herman N. *Paul: An Outline of His Theology*. Translated by John Richard De Witt. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1975.
- Sanders, E. P. *Paul, the Law, and the Jewish People*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 1983.
- _____. E. P. *Paul and Palestinian Judaism: A Comparison of Patterns of Religion*. Philadelphia, PA; Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1977.
- Segal, Alan F. *Paul the Convert: The Apostolate and Apostasy of Saul the Pharisee*. New Haven; London: Yale University Press, 1990.
- Stendahl, Krister. *Paul among Jews and Gentiles and Other Essays*. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1976.
- Still, Todd D., ed. *Jesus and Paul Reconnected: Fresh Pathways Into an Old Debate*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2007.
- Sampley, J. Paul, ed. *Paul in the Greco-Roman World: A Handbook*. Harrisburg, PA: Trinity Press International, 2003.

- Swartley, Willard M. *Covenant of Peace: The Missing Peace in New Testament Theology and Ethics*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2006.
- Taylor, Walter F. *Paul: Apostle to the Nations: An Introduction*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2012.
- Westerholm, Stephen. *Perspectives Old and New on Paul: The Lutheran Paul and His Critics*. Grand Rapids, MI: W. B. Eerdmans, 2004.
- Wenham, David. *Paul: Follower of Jesus of Founder of Christianity*. Cambridge; Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1995.
- Witherington, Ben. *The Paul Quest: The Renewed Search for the Jew of Tarsus*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 1998.
- Wright, N. T. *Paul, In Fresh Perspective*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2009.
- _____. *Paul and the Faithfulness of God*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2013.
- _____. *The Climax of the Covenant: Christ and the Law in Pauline Thought*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1993.
- _____. *What St. Paul Really Said: Was Paul of Tarsus the Real Founder of Christianity?* Grand Rapids, MI: W. B. Eerdmans, 1997.

Additional General References:

- Aune, David E., ed. *The New Testament in its Literary Environment*. Library of Early Christianity. Vol 8. Philadelphia, PA: The Westminster Press, 1989.
- Bauckham, Richard. *God Crucified: Monotheism and Christology in the New Testament*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1999.
- Bock, Darrell L. *The Missing Gospels: Unearthing the Truth behind Alternative Christianities*. Nashville, TN: Nelson Books, 2006.
- Burridge, R. A. *Imitating Jesus: An Inclusive Approach to New Testament Ethics*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 2007.
- Carter, Warren. *Seven Events That Shaped the New Testament World*. Grand Rapids, MI: Baker Academic, 2013.
- _____. *The Roman Empire and the New Testament: An Essential Guide*. Nashville: Abingdon, 2006.
- Elliott, J. K. *The Apocryphal New Testament: A Collection of Apocryphal Christian Literature in an English Translation Based on M. R James*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1993.
- Evans, Craig A., and Stanley E. Porter, eds. *Dictionary of New Testament Backgrounds*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2000.
- Ferguson, Everett. *Backgrounds to Early Christianity*. 3rd ed. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2003.
- Fiensy, David, and James Riley Strange, eds. *Galilee in the Late Second Temple and Mishnaic Periods: Volume 1 - Life, Culture and Society*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2014.
- Green, Joel B., Scott McKnight, I. Howard Marshall, eds. *Dictionary of Jesus and the Gospels*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1997.
- Hawthorne, Gerald F., Ralph P. Martin, and Daniel G. Reid, eds. *Dictionary of Paul and his Letters*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1993.

- Hays, Richard B. *The Moral Vision of the New Testament: A Contemporary Introduction to New Testament Ethics*. San Francisco: HarperSanFrancisco, 1996.
- Horsley, Richard A., and Neil Asher Silberman. *The Message and the Kingdom: How Jesus and Paul Ignited a Revolution and Transformed the Ancient World*. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2002.
- Hurtado, Larry W. *Lord Jesus Christ: Devotion to Jesus in the Earliest Church*. Grand Rapids, Michigan; Cambridge, U.K.: Eerdmans, 2003.
- Jeffers, James S. *The Greco-Roman World of the New Testament Era: Exploring the Background of Early Christianity*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity, 1999.
- Jeremias, Joachim. *Jerusalem in the Time of Jesus: An Investigation into Economic and Social Conditions during the New Testament Period*. Translated by F.H. Cave and C.H. Cave. Philadelphia: Fortress Press, 1969.
- Johnson, Luke Timothy. *Among the Gentiles: Greco-Roman Religion and Christianity*. New Haven; London: Yale University Press, 2009.
- Klauck, Hans-Josef. *The Religious Context of Early Christianity: A Guide to Graeco-Roman Religions*. Translated by Brian McNeil. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2003.
- Kruger, Michael J. *The Question of Canon: Challenging the Status Quo in the New Testament Debate*. Downers Grove, IL: Inter-Varsity Press, 2013.
- Marshall, I. Howard, ed. *New Testament Interpretation: Essays on Principles and Methods*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 1977.
- Martin, Ralph P., and Peter H. Davids, eds. *Dictionary of the Later New Testament and its Development*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 1997.
- McDonald, Lee Martin. *The Biblical Canon: Its Origin, Transmission, and Authority*. Peabody, Massachusetts: Hendrickson Publishers, 2007.
- Moule, C. F. D. *The Birth of the New Testament*. Black's New Testament Commentaries. London: Adam & Charles Black, 1966 (1961).
- Robinson, John A. T. *Redating the New Testament*. London; Philadelphia: SCM Press; Westminster, 1976.
- VanderKam, James C. *An Introduction to Early Judaism*. Grand Rapids, MI: Eerdmans, 2001.
- _____. *The Dead Sea Scrolls Today*. Grand Rapids, MI: W. B. Eerdmans, 1994.
- Wright, N.T. *The New Testament and the People of God*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 1992.

VI. FINAL COMMENTS

This course seeks to provide a broad general introduction to the study of New Testament theology and history. In the interests of achieving the desired aims and goals, it may be necessary to change some details in this syllabus.